

ORIGINAL



0000091077

The original and twenty-five  
Copies were filed this 09<sup>th</sup> day  
Of February 2001 with: Docket No. I-00000B-00-0105

Case No. 105

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 12 2001

2001 FEB 12 A 8:45

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Docket Control  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

DOCKETED BY

**CERTIFICATE of ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY**

Conditions to be considered in regards to the proposed expansion of the San Tan power plant submitted by intervenor Mark Kwiat

1) SRP would not take any action to exercise their right to transfer, if approved, Certificate of environmental compatibility, as stated in the state statutes no. 40-360.08 A., to the likes of any merchant plant for a period of twenty years. This is per their claim of energy is for the sole need of the East Valley

2) The Line Siting Committee would strongly consider these parameters in making their final decision of approving or denying SRP'S request for a certificate of environmental compatibility

a) To deny approval for SRP request for a CERTIFICATE of ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY. I believe it has been shown to this committee that a power plant of this size could never be compatible to the environment suggested.

b) If approved, this committee must not allow no more in this expansion process, than what was granted in Tempe. Which was a total dismantlement of the original plant, replacing it with a smaller, more efficient newer plant. Though a mutual agreement took place between SRP and the town of Tempe. Never the less, approval was still granted to SRP. To grant anything more to San Tan, in my opinion, would show prejudice towards the town of Gilbert and it's citizens. The comparisons of both proposals are near identical, with the exception that Gilbert has a greater density of residents buffering the San Tan plant and no industrial buffer. Kyrene has given this committee a precedence to use, as a comparison, and I would implore them to weigh their decision on that precedent.